



#19
PATENT
10/10/03

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Application No.: 09/625,769
Filing Date: July 26, 2000
Applicant: IJIMA
Group Art Unit: 2871
Examiner: ANDREW SCHECHTER
Title: DISPLAY DEVICE AND ELECTRONIC APPARATUS
USING THE SAME
Attorney Docket: 9319S-000142

RECEIVED
OCT - 3 2003
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

Assistant Commissioner for Patents
Washington, D.C. 20231

Attention: Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences

APPELLANT'S REPLY BRIEF (37 C.F.R. 1.193)

This brief (which is filed in triplicate) is in reply to the Examiner's Answer mailed July 31, 2003.

ARGUMENT

Claim 16 calls for $H(\%) \geq -200d + 140(\text{mm})$ wherein d is the distance between the light diffuser and the light reflector, and H is the haze value of the light diffuser. In section 11 of the Examiner's Answer entitled "Response to Argument", the Examiner states that "no prior art teaches or suggests the discovered inequality". The Examiner then states that "[h]owever, the prior art does teach and/or suggest a device whose

haze value (H) and distance (d) satisfy the inequality". The Examiner concludes by stating that "[t]his is not disputed by the appellant".

Applicant respectfully disagrees with the Examiner's conclusion and asserts that the prior art of record does not teach or suggest such a device. More particularly, Weber teaches in FIG. 9 a transflective optical display 128 including a liquid crystal display device 130, a backlight 132, an optical diffuser 134, and a switchable translector 136. With respect to dimensions, Weber only states that "the complete transflective optical display 128 will be . . . relatively thin in cross section". While Weber describes various constructions of the backlight 132 and the optical diffuser 134, Weber does not teach the dimensions of these components nor the spacing to be provided therebetween (assuming that the backlight 132 includes a reflector as recited in claim 16). Likewise, while Weber describes the configuration of the switchable translector 136, Weber does not teach the dimensions of the components therein. Absent such dimensions, it is improper to conclude that Weber teaches and/or suggests a haze value (H) and distance (d) that satisfy the claimed inequality.

The examiner's prior assertions that there are at least two substrates 150 and 152 between the diffuser 134 and the reflector of Weber and that the light guide itself has some thickness are correct. However, the examiner's conclusion that d will be greater than 0.7 mm is without support. As such, the claimed haze inequality is not automatically satisfied.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: Sept. 24, 2003

By: 
G. Gregory Schivley

Reg. No. 27,382

Bryant E. Wade

Reg. No. 40,344

HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C.
P.O. Box 828
Bloomfield Hills, Michigan 48303
(248) 641-1600



AF/2871

HDP/SB/21 based on PTO/SB/21 (08-00)

Please type a plus sign (+) inside this box → ☐

TRANSMITTAL FORM (to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)	Application Number	09/625,769	
	Filing Date	7/26/2000	
	First Named Inventor	IJIMA	
	Group Art Unit	2871	
	Examiner Name	Andrew Schechter	
Total Number of Pages in This Submission		Attorney Docket Number	9319S-000142

RECEIVED
OCT-3 2003
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

ENCLOSURES (check all that apply)		
<input type="checkbox"/> Fee Transmittal Form <input type="checkbox"/> Fee Attached <input type="checkbox"/> Amendment / Response <input type="checkbox"/> After Final <input type="checkbox"/> Affidavits/declaration(s) <input type="checkbox"/> Extension of Time Request <input type="checkbox"/> Express Abandonment Request <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement <input type="checkbox"/> Certified Copy of Priority Document(s) <input type="checkbox"/> Response to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application <input type="checkbox"/> Response to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53	<input type="checkbox"/> Assignment Papers (for an Application) <input type="checkbox"/> Drawing(s) <input type="checkbox"/> Licensing-related Papers <input type="checkbox"/> Petition <input type="checkbox"/> Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application <input type="checkbox"/> Power of Attorney, Revocation Change of Correspondence Address <input type="checkbox"/> Terminal Disclaimer <input type="checkbox"/> Request for Refund <input type="checkbox"/> CD, Number of CD(s) _____	<input type="checkbox"/> After Allowance Communication to Group <input type="checkbox"/> Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Appeal Communication to Group (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief) <input type="checkbox"/> Proprietary Information <input type="checkbox"/> Status Letter <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other Enclosure(s) (please identify below): Return receipt postcard.
Remarks		The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees that may be required under 37 CFR 1.16 or 1.17 to Deposit Account No. 08-0750. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.

SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT			
Firm or Individual name	Harness, Dickey & Pierce, P.L.C.	Attorney Name G. Gregory Schivley Bryant E. Wade	Reg. No. 27,382 40,344
Signature			
Date	September 24, 2003		

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING/TRANSMISSION			
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Director of the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, or facsimile transmitted to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office on the date indicated below.			
Typed or printed name	G. Gregory Schivley	Bryant E. Wade	
Signature			Date September 24, 2003